

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/531,227	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ARNAUD, CHRISTOPHE	
	<b>Examiner</b> Daniel S. Metzmaier	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Amended

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert E. Goozner.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 July 2009

**Time:** ~ 4:40 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*Claim 1.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed amendments to clarify the claims regarding the flow of the dispersed phase (40) and the dispersing phase (44). Applicant's representative authorized said amendments by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Daniel S. Metzmaier/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)